

## Introduction

A common disorder that can cause a reduction in corn yields is known as The objective of this research is to determine the effects of nonionic surfactant arrested ear development. Arrested ear development is not a disease; products on arrested ear development. however, it can be caused by applying nonionic surfactants (NIS) before **Materials and Methods** tasseling in corn (1). Nonionic surfactants are used to reduce the surface tension between the leaf surface and water droplet allowing the droplet to **Experimental Design** spread and cover more area on the leaf. This can mean better penetration of pesticides on the leaf. The growth stages that are most at > The arrested ear development research trial was established in Princeton, KY at risk for arrested ear development are when twelve to fourteen leaf collars the University of Kentucky Research and Education center in the summer of are exposed (V12 to V14).

Arrested ear development can also depend on the corn hybrid and environment. Symptoms are seen on the ears, husks, leaves, and silks of the corn plant twenty-eight days after foliar applications of NIS. Symptoms result in shorter ears, fewer kernels, and dried ear tips (Fig. 1,2). Husks will have a hollow feel when squeezed and have a slender look following pollination (Fig. 2). Fewer silks on the ear is due to the underdevelopment of the kernels in the plant. Leaves will show discoloration when the corn ear is severely affected (Fig. 3)

Although NIS has been linked to arrested ear development for years, damage is inconsistent and questions still remain on what products are more likely to cause arrested ear development.



Figure 1. Comparison of a normal ear (left) and ears with arrested ear development (right).



Figure 2. Husk and silk symptoms of arrested ear development on the left ear and normal ear development on the right.



Figure 3. Purple discoloration in a plant severely affected by arrested ear development.

# Non-ionic surfactants and arrested ear development in corn

Cristina Castellano, Nolan Anderson, Kiersten Wise

## University of Kentucky Research and Education Center, Princeton, Kentucky

## **Objective**

- 2018.
- Treatments were sprayed in a randomized complete block design with four replications.
- The corn hybrid AG6499 was planted in 30-inch row spacings at 32,000 seeds/A on May 9, 2018
- $\succ$  NIS was sprayed at V14 on June 29, 2018, at the recommended rates.
- $\succ$  The nonionic surfactants were sprayed using a CO<sub>2</sub> pressurized backpack
- sprayer and a backpack boom with TJ-8002 flat fan nozzles spaced at 20 inches.
- at 3 mph.
- > There are four nonionic surfactants used in this research. Products were sprayed at recommended rates. A non-treated control was included.
  - Preference® (Alkylphenol ethoxylate, sodium salts of soya fatty acids, isopropyl alcohol)
    - > Sprayed at a rate of 2.5 fl oz/A
  - **Class Act**® (Ammonium sulfate, corn syrup, alkyl polyglucoside) > Sprayed at a rate of 2.5 fl oz/A
  - **Interlock**® (Modified vegetable oil, polyoxyethylene sorbitan fatty acid ester, vegetable oil)
    - > Sprayed at a rate of 2 fl oz/A
  - Masterlock® (Modified vegetable oil, polyoxyethylene sorbitan fatty acid ester, vegetable oil, soybean oil, ethoxylated) > Sprayed at a rate of 6.4 fl oz/A

## **Data Collection**

- $\succ$  Weekly observation of the ears for visual differences prior to four week rating.
- Sampled 10 ears/plot four weeks after application.
- Measured ear length.
- $\succ$  Rated damage (% severity) in relation to non-treated control.
- Analyzed data using SAS v.9.3.

Boom pressure was regulated at 41 psi which delivered 20 gal/A while traveling



0.05 level (Table 1)

Table 1. Effect of non-ionic surfactant on ear length. Values followed by the same letter are not different at the P = 0.05 level.

### Treatment

Non-treated Alkylphenol ethoxylate, sodiun salts of soya fatty acids, isopropyl alcohol

Ammonium sulfate corn syrup, alkyl polyglucoside Modified vegetable oil, polyoxyethyler sorbitan fatty acid ester, vegetable o

Modified vegetable oil, polyoxyethyler sorbitan fatty acid ester, vegetable of soybean oil, ethoxylated

 $\succ$  Several factors could have affected why damage was not seen. Hybrid sensitivity to NIS Temperature at time of application • Were ears collected too early?

- studies?

Conclusion

There may be opportunities to use NIS pre-tassel in the future. However, more research is needed to know when and where to spray NIS.

## **Acknowledgements and References**

We would like to thank Jesse Gray for planting and the farm crew for all of their help with field maintenance. Funding for this project was provided by USDA-NIFA ELI-REEU grant no. 2017-06637.

1) Nielsen, R., Wise, K., & Gerber, C. (2008, December 9). Corny News Network Articles. Retrieved from Purdue University: https://www.agry.purdue.edu/ext/corn/news/articles.08/arrestedears-1209.html 2) Stetzel, N., Wise, K., Nielsen, B., & Gerber, C. (2011). Arrested Ear Development in Hybrid Corn. Purdue Extension, 1-

 $\succ$  Treatment did not have a significant effect on ear length at the P =

	Trade name	Ear length
		20.61 A
m Y	Preference	21.24 A
e,	Class Act	21.16 A
le ne d il)	Interlock	21.16 A
le ne d il,	Masterlock	20.96 A

• Are these products different than products used in earlier

• Was the timing of application too late to see damage?